Ontario Municipal Board

Commission des affaires municipales de l'Ontario



ISSUE DATE: July 20, 2015 **CASE NO(S).**: PL141371

PROCEEDING COMMENCED UNDER subsection 34(11) of the *Planning Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c. P. 13, as amended

Applicant and Appellant: Yorkville East Developments Ltd.

Subject: Application to amend Zoning By-law No. 438-

86 – Neglect or Refusal of application by City

of Toronto to make a decision

Existing Zoning: CR T4.0 C2.0 R4.0

Proposed Zoning: Site Specific (To be determined)

Purpose: To permit 49-storey mixed use building fronting

onto Bloor Street East and a 12-storey

residential building fronting onto Selby Street

with a 1-storey link

Property Address/Description: 387-403 Bloor Street East and 28 Selby Street

Municipality: City of Toronto

Municipal File No.: 12 290796 STE 7 OZ

OMB Case No.: PL141371
OMB File No.: PL141371

OMB Case Name: Yorkville East Developments Inc. v. Toronto

(City)

Heard: by telephone conference call July 16, 2015 in

Toronto, Ontario

APPEARANCES:

<u>Parties</u>	<u>Counsel</u>
Yorkville East Developments Inc.	K. Kovar
City of Toronto	M. Crawford
MTCC 1021	R. Kanter
505896 Ontario Limited	A. Heisey

2 PL141371

MEMORANDUM OF ORAL DECISION DELIVERED BY R. ROSSI ON JULY 16, 2015

- [1] The Ontario Municipal Board ("Board") has convened this teleconference call ("TCC") to hear details of a settlement between the Applicant/Appellant, Yorkville East Developments Ltd. ("Appellant") and the City of Toronto ("City") as well as settlement details between the Appellant and 505896 Ontario Limited all in respect of the Appellant's Zoning By-law Amendment ("ZBA"), which will rezone 387-403 Bloor Street East and 28 Selby Street (subject property) to permit the construction of a 52-storey, mixed use commercial/residential building fronting onto Bloor Street East and a 10-storey, mixed use commercial/residential building fronting onto Selby Street.
- [2] Counsel, Kim Kovar, represents the Appellant. Ms. Kovar noted for the Board a reduction in a height portion of the new structure from 18.5 metres to 17.75 metres (Tab E, Map 2, page 8 of the Appellant's document book on file). In agreement with Metropolitan Toronto Condominium Corporation No. 1021 ("MTCC 1021), the Appellant agrees to design and create this area as a green roof, prohibiting residential uses thereon and ensuring that no heating ventilating and air conditioning (HVAC) unit will be placed thereon. On this basis, MTCC 1021 does not object to the settlement presented at this TCC hearing.
- [3] The Appellant has filed with the Board the necessary supporting materials including a planning affidavit from Robert Glover, which the Board read in advance of the hearing. Mr. Glover attended this TCC hearing to speak to any planning issues and/or details that might arise in the course of the event.
- [4] As settlement materials were filed and as these relate directly to a detailed agreement with participant, 505896 Ontario Limited, owner of adjacent lands at 365 Bloor Street East, the Board grants party status to this numbered company for the purposes of adjudicating these matters.
- [5] Agent Linda Brett appeared on behalf of a participant, Bloor Street East Neighbourhood Association Inc. Other participants to the first pre-hearing conference

3 PL141371

included Brian Bagley and agents for the Upper Jarvis Neighbourhood Association and

Capreit; none of these participants participated in the TCC despite being invited to do

SO.

[6] City Council reviewed the Appellant's revised proposal at its July 7, 2015 meeting

and determined that the City could enter into Minutes of Settlement with the Appellant.

It was Mr. Glover's uncontested affidavit evidence that the revised proposal represents

good planning and these Minutes of Settlement facilitate development of the subject

property in the manner contemplated. Mr. Glover provided his opinion that approval of

the ZBA is consistent with the relevant redevelopment and intensification policies of the

2014 Provincial Policy Statement and the Greater Golden Horseshoe Growth Plan and

it conforms with the relevant policies of the City's Official Plan. Relevant policies and

passages from these planning instruments were provided in the planner's affidavit. The

Board was persuaded by the planner's affidavit evidence that the ZBA (both in this form

and its final form) represents good planning.

[7] The appeal is allowed. The Board withholds its Order pending notification to it of

the Appellant's completion of items 2a through 2g as enumerated in the City-adopted

Planning Division Report dated July 7, 2015 (Tab D of the Appellant's document book

on file).

"R. Rossi"

R. ROSSI MEMBER

If there is an attachment referred to in this document, please visit www.elto.gov.on.ca to view the attachment in PDF format.

Ontario Municipal Board